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Identification of N-terminal Extracellular Domain Determinants in Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor (nAChR) α6 Subunits That Influence Effects of Wild-type or Mutant β3 Subunits on Function of α6β2*- or α6β4*-nAChR*

Bhagirathi Dash 1, Minoti Bhakta, Yongchang Chang, and Ronald J. Lukas 2
From the Division of Neurobiology, Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, Arizona 85013

Background: α6β3*-nicotinic receptors (nAChRs) are physiologically important but difficult to express heterologously. Despite the apparent function of naturally expressed mammalian α6*-nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (α6*-nAChR; where * indicates the known or possible presence of additional subunits), their functional and heterologous expression has been difficult. Here, we report that coexpression with wild-type β3 subunits abolishes the small amount of function typically seen for all-human or all-mouse α6β4*-nAChR expressed in Xenopus oocytes. However, levels of function and agonist potencies are markedly increased, and there is atropine-sensitive blockade of spontaneous channel opening upon coexpression of α6 and β4 subunits with mutant β3 subunits harboring valine-to-serine mutations at 9′- or 13′-positions. There is no function when α6 and β2 subunits are expressed alone or in the presence of wild-type or mutant β3 subunits. Interestingly, hybrid nAChR containing mouse α6 and human (h) β4 subunits have function potentiated rather than suppressed by coexpression with wild-type hβ3 subunits and potentiated further upon coexpression with hβ3V9′S subunits. Studies using nAChR chimeric mouse/human α6 subunits indicated that residues involved in effects seen with hybrid nAChR are located in the α6 subunit N-terminal domain. More specifically, nAChR hα6 subunit residues Asn-143 and Met-145 are important for dominant-negative effects of nAChR hβ3 subunits on hα6hβ4-nAChR function. Asn-143 and additional residues in the N-terminal domain of nAChR hα6 subunits are involved in the gain-of-function effects of nAChR hβ3V9′S subunits on α6β2*-nAChR function. These studies illuminate the structural bases for effects of β3 subunits on α6*-nAChR function and suggest that unique subunit interfaces involving the complementary rather than the primary face of α6 subunits are involved.

Results: Influences of β3 subunits on α6β3*-nAChR function are impacted by α6 subunit N-terminal domain loop E residues.

Conclusion: There are unexpected roles for the complementary face of the nAChR α6 subunit in receptor function.

Significance: Novel medicinals acting at new sites on α6β3*-nAChRs could be useful antidepressants and/or smoking cessation aids.

* These abbreviations used are: nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; ACh, acetylcholine; h, human; m, mouse.
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Coexpression of a human α6/α4 chimeric subunits with human β4 subunits in *Xenopus* oocytes or HEK-293 human embryonic kidney cells results in functional receptors, although expression of wild-type α6 subunits with β4 subunits did not produce ligand binding and functional α6*-nAChR (9). Chick or rat nAChR α6 subunits form functional channels when coexpressed with hβ4 subunits in *Xenopus* oocytes, but the α6 plus β2 subunit combination fails to form functional channels (10). Chick α6 subunits also formed functional receptors when coexpressed with chick β2 or β4 subunits in BOSC 23 cells (11). More recently, Kuryatov et al. (8) reported functional expression of human α6β4-nAChR in *Xenopus* oocytes and formation of ligand-binding (nonfunctional) aggregates of α6β2-nAChR. Their study also suggested that β3 subunits may facilitate α6*-nAChR trafficking to the cell membrane.

Recently (12), it was observed that coexpression with a large excess of human nAChR wild-type β3 subunits has a dominant-negative effect on the function of human α6*-nAChR, whereas coexpression with a large excess of human mutant β3 subunits (valine 273 to serine at position 9' in the putative second transmembrane domain; β3V273S = β3V9') potentiates the function of human α6β2*- and α6β4*-nAChR. This observation is surprising, because knock-out studies strongly suggest that the naturally expressed murine α6*-nAChR containing β2 and β3 subunits are functional and that α6 and β3 subunits are needed to show sensitivity of these receptors to certain α-conotoxins (4–7).

To re-explore and expand on the prior findings, we further characterized human (h) or mouse (m) α6β3*-nAChR heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. Further study employing hybrid nAChR containing subunits from different species, and use of chimeric subunits having sequences for a given subunit from different species to guide finer site-directed mutagenesis studies, led us to find unexpectedly that amino acid residues in the N-terminal domain of α6 subunits influence sometimes the dominant-negative effects of wild-type β3 subunits and are involved in gain-of-function effects of mutant β3V9'5' subunits on α6*-nAChR function. Hence, these results suggest that coassembly of β3 with α6 and β2 subunits to form functional nAChR is determined by the α6 subunit N-terminal extracellular region. These results also suggest that a novel interface between nAChR subunits exists and can influence subunit assembly and receptor function.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Chemicals**—All chemicals for electrophysiology were obtained from Sigma. Fresh agonist (acetylcholine or nicotine) and antagonist (atropine or mecamylamine) stock solutions were made daily in Ringer's solution and diluted as needed.

**Wild Type, Chimeric, or Point Mutation nAChR Subunits**—cDNAs corresponding to human nAChR α6 (hα6), β2 (hβ2), β3 (hβ3), or β4 (hβ4) subunits were excised from vectors containing them and subcloned into the oocyte expression vector pGEMHE. Similarly, cDNAs representing mouse nicotinic receptor α6 (mα6), β2 (mβ2), β3 (mβ3), or β4 (mβ4) subunits (kind gifts from Dr. Jerry A. Stitzel, Department of Integrative Physiology, Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado, Boulder) were subcloned into pGEMHE. Fully synthetic nAChR hβ2 subunit with nucleotide sequences optimized for better heterologous expression (hβ2opt) supplemented Fig. 1 was generated (GENEART, Burlingame, CA), subcloned into the pCI vector (Promega, San Luis Obispo, CA), and used in some studies, and although levels of function in complexes containing optimized β2 subunits were generally slightly higher, the source of β2 subunit did not materially affect outcomes.

Two chimeric nAChR subunits mα6(1–350)/hα6(351–494) and mα6(1–236)/hα6(237–494) were constructed as sketched in Fig. 1 and as described in detail in the supplemental material. Mutations in the mβ3 (V279S and V283S) or hβ3 (V273S and V277S) subunit transmembrane domain II 9' (V9') or 13' (V13'S)-position were introduced in the pGEMHE background using the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and also confirmed. Similarly, mutations in the N-terminal domain of the human nAChR α6 subunit (N91K, K94R, K114N, N143D, M145V, N91K/K94R, and N143D/M145V) were introduced using the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit. Primers used for mutagenesis are listed in supplemental Table S1. Construct integrity of all subunits was confirmed by sequencing.

**In Vitro Transcription**—All pGEMHE plasmids were linearized immediately from downstream of the 3’-polyadenylation sequence. NheI was used to linearize mα6, mβ2, mβ3, mβ3V9'S, mβ3V13'S, mβ4, hα6, hβ3, hβ3V9'S, hβ3V13'S, hβ4, hα6N91K, hα6K94R, hα6K114N, hα6N143D, hα6M145V, hα6N91K+K94R, hα6N143D+M145V, mα6(1–350)/hα6(351–494) and mα6(1–236)/hα6(237–494) subunit containing plasmids. SbfI was used to linearize hβ2 subunits. Capped mRNA was transcribed from linearized plasmids in a reaction mixture (25 μl) containing 1× transcription buffer, 1.6 mM rNTPs (Promega, San Luis Obispo, CA), 0.5 mM 7m-CAP (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), 1 μl of RNasin Plus (New England Biolabs), and 1 μl of T7 RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) following standard protocols. Integrity and quality of the cRNA were checked by electrophoresis and UV spectroscopy.

**Oocyte Preparation and cRNA Injection**—Female *Xenopus laevis* (Xenopus I, Ann Arbor, MI) were anesthetized using 0.2% Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222). Ovarian lobes were surgically removed from the frogs and placed in an incubation solution that consisted of (in mM) 82.5 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 1 Na2HPO4, 0.6 theophylline, 2.5 sodium pyruvate, 5 HEPES, 50 mg/ml gentamycin, 50 units/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin, pH 7.5. The frogs were allowed to recover from surgery before being returned to the incubation tank. The lobes were cut into small pieces and digested with 0.08 Wunsch units/ml liberase Blendzyme 3 (Roche Applied Science) with constant stirring at room temperature for 1.5–2 h. The dispersed oocytes were thoroughly rinsed with incubation solution. Stage VI oocytes were selected and incubated at 16 °C before injection. Micropipettes used for injection were pulled from borosilicate glass (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA) using a Sutter P87 horizontal puller, and the tips were broken with forceps to ~40 μm in diameter. cRNA was drawn up into the micropipette and injected into oocytes using a Nanoject microinjection system (Drummond Scientific) at a total volume of ~60 nl. To express
Effects of β3 Subunits on α6*-Nicotinic Receptor Function

(A) mChRNA6 1 MLNSRDQNLGSGLCLWLCGFLARFKOSTGCESEQDQLFHRLEARYRNFIRVFVNVDPVT 60
hChRNA6 1 MLTSQGQFQNLGCLCLWLCLVTPFYGCCRGIALRLELRLFHSNYQFIRVFVNVDPVT 60

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

Loop A

mChRNA6 61 VHFEALGQLALMLNQIMELNLWLKROYDPFLKDTEYDIEICKLVPADN1WPPD1 120
hChRNA6 61 VHFEAILGQLALMLNQIMELNLWLKROYDPFLKDTEYDIEICKLVPADN1WPPD1 120

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

Loop B

mChRNA6 121 VLYNAVDFQVQEQKRMALLKLYQGVIWTPPAFIKKSSCPMD1F1FFDDQCNILSKFSGTW 180
hChRNA6 121 VLYNAVDFQVQEQKRMALLKLYQGVIWTPPAFIKKSSCPMD1F1FFDDQCNILSKFSGTW 180

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

Loop C

mChRNA6 181 YDKAIDLLIQGKQDMFDWENSEWIDAGKYKIDNYKCEIITDYSFY1MRRLP 240
hChRNA6 181 YDKAIDLLIQGKQDMFDWENSEWIDAGKYKIDNYKCEIITDYSFY1MRRLP 240

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

MI

mChRNA6 241 MFYINLIALPILISFVTLVYLEPSDDCEAVTCISVLSLTVLFVITETTSITLVL 300
hChRNA6 241 MFYINLIALPILISFVTLVYLEPSDDCEAVTCISVLSLTVLFVITETTSITLVL 300

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

MII

mChRNA6 301 PLVGZILILTMTYTLVTVLNIHRYTPATNMFKWVTKFLQAFPSL1MRRPFDL 360
hChRNA6 301 PLVGZILILTMTYTLVTVLNIHRYTPATNMFKWVTKFLQAFPSL1MRRPFDL 360

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

MII

mChRNA6 361 KTEAAGYVRASKRPHRASAKVTRHSGESRIKCECHNMQSSDIAFQKRQQSQQPPARWA 420
hChRNA6 361 KTEAAGYVRASKRPHRASAKVTRHSGESRIKCECHNMQSSDIAFQKRQQSQQPPARWA 420

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

MIV

mChRNA6 421 ENSERSSDVEQISQV1AENMRKSHNTEKINVDWYAMMVQVDVLWVFIVCVQFTV 480
hChRNA6 421 ENSERSSDVEQISQV1AENMRKSHNTEKINVDWYAMMVQVDVLWVFIVCVQFTV 480

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

mChRNA6 481 GLFLQFLQGNTGKS 494
hChRNA6 481 GLFLQFLQGNTGKS 494

**.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.** ; **.**

(B) N I II III C-loop IV C

mo6

h6

mo6α3β0/α6β351-494

mo6α3β3/α6β337-494

FIGURE 1. A, sequence alignment for mouse (m) or human (h) nAChR α6 subunits (GenBank® NP_004189.1 [Homo sapiens] and NP_067344.2 [Mus musculus]; single letter code, numbering begins at translation start methionine). Symbols below sequences indicate fully (*), strongly (:) or weakly (.) conserved residues, and the lack of a symbol indicates amino acid divergence, and **boldface type** in h6 subunit indicates residues given prime attention in mutagenesis studies. **Underlining** in the h6 subunit sequence indicates putative transmembrane domains. **Underlined and italicized type** in the h6 subunit indicates junctions for chimeric subunits. B, schematic diagrams of wild-type, human, or mouse α6 subunits or chimeric subunits. Notations are: N = N-terminal domain; I, II, III, or IV = respective transmembrane domains; C-loop = cytoplasmic loop; C = C terminus.

nAChR in oocytes, about 4 ng of cRNA corresponding to each nAChR subunit was injected.

Oocyte Electrophysiology—Two to 7 days after injection, oocytes were placed in a small volume chamber and continuously perfused with oocyte Ringer solution, which consisted of (in mM) 92.5 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.0 CaCl₂, 1.0 MgCl₂, and 5.0 HEPES, pH 7.5. The chamber was grounded through an agarose bridge. The oocytes were voltage-clamped at −70 mV (unless otherwise noted) to measure agonist-induced currents using Axoclamp 900A and pClamp 10.2 software (Axon Instruments, Sunnyvale, CA). The current signal was low-pass filtered at 10 Hz with the built-in low-pass Bessel filter in the Axoclamp 900A and digitized at 20 Hz with Axon Digidata 1440A and pClamp10.2. Electrodes contained 3 M KCl and had a resistance of 1–2 megohms. Drugs (agonists and antagonists) were prepared daily in bath solution. Drug was applied using a ValveLink 8.2 perfusion system (Automate scientific, Berkeley, CA). 1 μM atropine was always coapplied for acetylcholine (ACh)-based recordings to eliminate muscarinic AChR (mAChR) responses. All electrophysiological measurements were conducted or checked in at least two batches of oocytes.

Experimental Controls—Injection of cRNA corresponding to one subunit alone or pairwise combinations of β3 or β3β3β3 or β3β3β3 subunits with either a α subunit or β2 or β4 or chimeric subunits (10–12 ng of total cRNA) did not result in the expression of functional nAChR. Current responses to 100 μM nicotine were less than 5–20 nA (data not shown).

Data Analyses—Raw data were collected and processed in part using pClamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and a spreadsheet (Excel; Microsoft, Bellevue, WA), using peak current
amplitudes as measures of functional nAChR expression and results pooled across experiments (mean ± S.E. for data from at least three oocytes). Assessment of true EC50 values for different nAChR subunit combinations was made based on complete concentration-response relationships, in which mean peak current amplitudes at specified ligand concentrations were fit to the Hill equation or its variants using Prism 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego). F-tests (p < 0.05 to define statistical significance) were carried out to compare the best fit values of log molar EC50 values across specific nAChR subunit combinations. There are limitations in the ability to compare levels of functional nAChR expression, even though we injected similar amounts of RNA for all constructs (13, 14). We made no attempt to measure or control for subunit combination-specific effects, but whenever preliminary studies revealed possible differences in peak current amplitudes, the findings were further confirmed across different subunit combinations using the same batch of oocytes and the same time between cRNA injection and recording. Whenever we make statements about results comparing ligand potencies and efficacies across subunit combinations, the observations are clear and significant (one-way analyses of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests).

RESULTS

Wild-type or Mutant nAChR β3 Subunits from Either Humans or Mice Incorporate into α6β4*-nAChR

In initial studies (Fig. 2A), coexpression of nAChR wild-type h6 and h4 subunits produced nicotinic responses in only about 3–5% of injected oocytes, and functional responses to 100 μM ACh when present were very modest (22 ± 3 nA; Table 1). Responses to nicotine were less reliable (Table 1). Coexpression with wild-type hβ3 subunits suppressed the modest responses to 100 μM ACh (8.4 ± 1.0 nA; Fig. 2A). By contrast, coexpression with mutant hβ3V9S subunits significantly increased nicotinic responses to 100 μM ACh (290 ± 19 nA), and nearly every oocyte injected with nAChR h6, h4, and mutant hβ3V9S subunits expressed functional nAChR (Fig. 2A and Table 1).

Although the small amplitudes of current in the few oocytes that yielded functional receptors when injected with cRNA encoding nAChR h6 and h4 subunits plus wild-type hβ3 subunits confounded detailed analyses, studies done comparing nicotine and ACh efficacies and apparent potencies done on the same day after injection of the same batch of oocytes indicated that these agonists were equally efficacious (p > 0.05) (Table 1) at h6h4hβ3V9S-nAChR. Also, ACh and nicotine were equally potent at h6h4hβ3V9S-nAChR, yielding EC50 values of 0.43 and 0.42 μM, respectively (Fig. 2B and Table 1). Our studies also demonstrated that there also was emergence of receptor function when oocytes were injected with cRNA for nAChR h6 and h4 subunits along with hβ3V13S subunits (Fig. 2C and Table 1). Apparent potency and efficacy for nicotine did not differ across 9’ and 13’ β3 subunit mutations (EC50 values of 0.42 and 0.30 μM, respectively). However, the EC50 value for ACh of 1.2 μM at h6h4hβ3V13S-nAChR differs significantly (p = 0.0011) from that of 0.43 μM at h6h4hβ3V9S-nAChR, and average ACh efficacy also was lower for the former set of receptors (Table 1).

Difficulties in expressing functional α6*-nAChR from human subunits gave us pause, and so we undertook studies of α6*-nAChR heterologously expressed from mouse subunits, because the literature strongly suggests that naturally expressed mouse α6*-nAChR are functional (2–7). Many oocytes injected with cRNA encoding nAChR wild-type mα6

![FIGURE 2. Functional properties of h6h4hβ3*-nAChR. A, mean peak inward current amplitude (±S.E.; abscissa; nA) elicited by oocytes expressing the indicated human nAChR subunit combinations in response to application of 100 μM ACh. The level of nAChR function in oocytes expressing h6 and h4 subunits is reduced by addition of wild-type hβ3 subunits but increased in the presence of hβ3V9S subunits (p < 0.05). B and C, results averaged across experiments were used to produce concentration-response curves (ordinate — mean normalized current ± S.E.; abscissa; nA) elicited by oocytes expressing the indicated human nAChR subunit combinations in response to application of 100 μM ACh. The level of nAChR function in oocytes expressing h6 and h4 subunits is reduced by addition of wild-type hβ3 subunits but increased in the presence of hβ3V9S (B) or hβ3V13S (C) subunits. Concentration-response curves for ACh and nicotine are almost superimposable for oocytes expressing h6, h4, and hβ3V9S subunits, but EC50 values for ACh and nicotine are different (p < 0.0001) for oocytes expressing h6h4hβ3V13S subunits (see Table 1 for parameters).]
**TABLE 1**

Parameters for agonist action at nAChR containing human or mouse 6α subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>nAChR subunit combinations</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>EC_{50} (95% CI)</th>
<th>n_{1/2} ± S.E.</th>
<th>Potency</th>
<th>Peak response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACh</td>
<td>ho6 + hβ4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.43 (0.34–0.54)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2100 ± 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ho6 + hβ4 + hβ3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2 (0.66–2.3)</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>910 ± 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ho6 + hβ4 + hβ^{3V9S}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 (25–58)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + mβ4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.42 (0.25–0.71)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2100 ± 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + mβ4 + mβ^{3V9S}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.30 (0.22–0.41)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2400 ± 420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine</td>
<td>hβ4 + hβ3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hβ4 + hβ4 + hβ3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.42 (0.26–0.68)</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1600 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hβ4 + hβ4 + hβ^{3V9S}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.30 (0.24–0.37)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + mβ4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26 (18–38)</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + mβ4 + hβ3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.07 (0.04–0.10)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2500 ± 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + mβ4 + mβ^{3V13S}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.26 (0.19–0.36)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3000 ± 360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with human subunits, oocytes expressing nAChR 6α and mβ4 with mβ^{3V9S} or mβ^{3V13S} subunits yielded relatively large responses to nicotinic agonists (Fig. 3 and Table 1). Agonist concentration-response curves (Fig. 3) reveal that ACh and nicotine sensitivities are 100–400-fold higher for mα6mβ4mβ^{3V9S} or mα6mβ4mβ^{3V13S}-nAChR than for mα6mβ4-nAChR (Fig. 3).

These results indicated that both wild-type β3 and mutant β^{3V9S} or β^{3V13S} subunits incorporate into at least some complexes containing 6α and β4 subunits. Incorporation of β3 subunits has a dominant-negative effect, reflected by lowering of levels of functional receptors (again, assuming that peak current amplitudes are legitimate proxies for functional nAChR expression levels, with the caveats about this interpretation mentioned under “Experimental Procedures: Data Analyses.” By contrast, incorporation of the nAChR β^{3V9S} or β^{3V13S} subunit produces a gain-of-function effect reflected by an increase in agonist sensitivity and in absolute levels of functional receptor expression.

There was no functional expression represented by nicotinic agonist-induced current responses in oocytes expressing nAChR 6α and β2 subunits alone, in combination with wild-type β3 subunits, or in combination with either mutant β^{3V9S} or β^{3V13S} subunits from either human or mouse (Table 1). This confounded the ability to interpret results, but they do indicate that coexpression with mutant β^{3V9S} or β^{3V13S} subunits does not have a gain-of-function effect on α6β2*-nAChR from either species, contrary to effects on α6β4*-nAChR.

Studies Using Hybrid nAChR Containing Subunits from Different Species Indicate Forms of These α6*-nAChR Into Which nAChR Wild-type or Mutant β3 Subunits Can Incorporate

For a given nAChR subunit (6α, β2, β4, and β3) across species, amino acid residues are nearly perfectly matched in
**TABLE 2**
Parameters for drug action at hybrid α6+ nAChR

Potencies (micromolar EC_{50} values with 95% confidence intervals for ACh or nicotine effects), Hill coefficients (n_{H} ± S.E.), mean ± S.E. peak response (I_{max}, in nA), and concentrations where maximal peak current amplitudes (I_{max}) are achieved (in μM) are provided for the indicated agonist (ACh or nicotine) acting at nAChR composed of the indicated subunits derived from the specified species and from the indicated number of independent experiments (n) based on studies as shown in the figures. ▲ or ▼ indicates a significant (p < 0.05) increase or decrease, respectively, in potency of or peak response elicited by the indicated agonist at the indicated nAChR subtype relative to nAChR containing the same subunits but in the absence of the indicated β subunit. A or B indicates a significant increase or decrease, respectively, in indicated agonist potency or peak response at the indicated nAChR subtype relative to nAChR containing the same subunits in the presence wild-type β3 subunits. Note that no or very rare and then small responses were seen for the following subunit combinations (n = 9 each) to nicotine: hα6 + mβ2 alone or with mβ3, hβ3, hβ3V^{6*-}, or mβ3V^{6*-}; hα6 + mβ4 alone or with hβ3 or mβ3; mα6 + hβ2 alone or with mβ3, hβ3, or mβ3V^{6*-}; mα6 + hβ4 alone or with mβ3. — indicates that absent or inconsistent functional responses in two-electrode voltage clamp studies precluded determination of the parameter of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>nAChR subunit combinations</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>EC_{50} (95% CI)</th>
<th>n_{H} ± S.E. n_{H}</th>
<th>I_{max} ± S.E.</th>
<th>I_{max} concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine</td>
<td>mα6 + hβ2 + hβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02–0.05) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>280 ± 50 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hα6 + mβ4 + hβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.27 (0.1–0.73) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40 ± 2 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + hβ4 + hβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14 (9.6–21) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57 ± 7 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hα6 + mβ4 + hβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03–0.02) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160 ± 98 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mα6 + hβ4 + mβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.42 (0.28–0.64) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000 ± 12 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh</td>
<td>mα6 + hβ2 + hβ3^{V^{6*-}}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.34 (0.18–0.62) ▲ ▲</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>300 ± 90 ▲ ▲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 4. Functional properties of hybrid α6+-nAChR.** Concentration (abscissa; log μM)-response (ordinate — mean normalized current ± S.E.) curves are shown for responses as follows, A, to nicotine for oocytes expressing hα6(mβ4)hβ3^{V^{6*-}}. (○) or mα6hβ4mβ3^{V^{6*-}}. (●) nAChRs; B, to ACh or nicotine (●) for oocytes expressing mα6hβ2hβ3^{V^{6*-}}-nAChR; C, to nicotine for oocytes expressing nAChR mα6 and hβ4 subunits with either hβ3 (●) or hβ3V^{6*-} (○) subunits; or D, to nicotine for oocytes expressing nAChR hα6, mβ4, and mβ3V^{6*-} subunits. A leftward shift in the nicotine concentration-response curve is evident for functional nAChR containing hβ3^{V^{6*-}} subunits relative to nAChR containing wild-type β3 subunits (p < 0.0001; ~173-fold). See Table 2 for parameters.

Transmembrane domains, but there are some differences in other regions of the N terminus, first extracellular domain, and in the second, large cytoplasmic loop. We hypothesized that switching between mouse and human α6 plus β2 or β4 subunits would lead to formation of functional α6+-nAChR and/or α6+-nAChR with function influenced by β3 subunits.

**Human nAChR α6 Subunits Coexpressed With β4 (but Not With β2) and β3^{V^{6*-}} Subunits Produce Functional Receptors —**

Oocytes expressing hα6 plus either mβ2 or mβ4 subunits alone or additionally coexpressing hβ3 subunits did not respond appreciably to nicotinic agonists nor were there responses in oocytes coexpressing hα6, mβ2, and hβ3^{V^{6*-}} subunits (Table 2). However, coexpression of hα6, mβ4, and mutant hβ3^{V^{6*-}} subunits yielded oocytes with functional nicotinic responses (~40-nA peak response, see Fig. 4A and Table 2).

**Mouse nAChR α6 Subunits Coexpressed With β4 (but Not with β2) and β3^{V^{6*-}} Subunits Produce Functional Receptors —**

Coexpression with β3^{V^{6*-}} subunits significantly increased nicotinic responses in oocytes also expressing mα6 and hβ4 subunits (~1022-nA peak response, Fig. 4A, Table 2), although there was not formation of functional mα6hβ4- or mα6hβ4mβ3-nAChR. Also, there was no appreciable functional increase in oocytes expressing mα6 plus hβ2 subunits alone or in the additional presence of wild-type β3 or β3^{V^{6*-}} subunits (Table 2).

**Mouse nAChR α6 Subunits Coexpressed With β2 and β3^{V^{6*-}} (but Not with β3) Subunits Produce Functional Receptors —**

There is functional nAChR expression in oocytes expressing nAChR mα6, hβ2, and mutant hβ3^{V^{6*-}} subunits (Fig. 4B and Table 2) but not for hybrid mα6hβ2hβ3-
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**TABLE 3**

Parameters for antagonist action at nAChR containing α6 subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>nAChR subunit combinations</th>
<th>Potency</th>
<th>Peak response</th>
<th>Spontaneous opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n IC₅₀ (95% CI)</td>
<td>n₄ ± S.E.</td>
<td>Mean Iₘ₉₅ ± S.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µM</td>
<td></td>
<td>nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atropine</td>
<td>hα6 + hβ4 + hβ3²V⁹S</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−1.2 ± 0.62</td>
<td>500 ± 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hα6 + hβ4 + hβ3¹³⁷V⁹S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 0.06</td>
<td>360 ± 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 0.91</td>
<td>450 ± 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>120 ± 56⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1.20 ± 0.13</td>
<td>230 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecamylamine</td>
<td>hα6 + hβ4 + hβ3²V⁹S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.7 ± 0.17</td>
<td>300 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hα6 + hβ4 + hβ3¹³⁷V⁹S</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>16 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−4.4 (0.06–309)</td>
<td>−1.8 ± 3.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nAChR. mα6β2hβ3²V⁹S-nAChR have maximal responses of ~300 nA at 100 µM ACh and at 1 µM nicotine, and EC₅₀ values are 0.34 µM for ACh and 0.03 µM for nicotine (Fig. 4B and Table 2). Concentration-response curves show little to no evidence of what would be expected to be low efficacy, low agonist sensitivity responses that could be attributed to mα6β2-nAChR (Fig. 4B). These studies suggest that the presence of mα6 instead of hα6 subunits is key to the function of mα6β2hβ3²V⁹S-nAChR.

Mouse nAChR α6 Subunits Coexpressed with nAChR hβ4 and β3 Subunits Produce Functional Receptors with Increased Agonist Sensitivity and Responsiveness—Hybrid nAChR produced in oocytes expressing mα6, hβ4, and hβ3 subunits have functional responses to nicotinic agonists that are elevated relative to the negligible to no levels of function observed for mα6β4-nAChR (Table 2 and Fig. 4C). Furthermore, coexpression with mutant hβ3²V⁹S subunits significantly increases nicotinic responses of oocytes also expressing mα6 and hβ4 subunits (Fig. 4C and Table 2), as is the case for oocytes expressing hα6, hβ4, and hβ3²V⁹S subunits or mα6, mβ4, and mβ3²V⁹S subunits. Pairwise comparisons show that differences in amplitudes of responses to nicotine are statistically significant (p < 0.001) between mα6hβ4hβ3⁻ and mα6hβ4hβ3²V⁹S-nAChR (~60 and ~1600 nA, respectively; Table 2). Moreover, nicotine potency is increased for mα6hβ4hβ3²V⁹S-nAChR (EC₅₀ = 0.08 µM) relative to that for mα6hβ4hβ3-nAChR (EC₅₀ = 14 µM; Table 2). These results indicate that both wild-type β3 and mutant hβ3²V⁹S subunits incorporate into at least some complexes containing mα6 and hβ4 subunits. However, although mutant hβ3²V⁹S subunits have the reasonably expected gain-of-function effect, wild-type hβ3 subunits have potentiation rather than an expected, dominant-negative effect. These studies again suggest importance of mα6 instead of hα6 subunits in these effects.

Human nAChR α6 Subunits Coexpressed with nAChR mβ4 (but Not with mβ2) and mβ3²V⁹S Subunits Produce Functional Receptors—There is no appreciable function of nAChR in oocytes expressing hα6 plus either mβ2 or mβ4 subunits alone or in the additional presence of mβ3 subunits nor does coexpression with mβ3²V⁹S subunits produce functional nAChR in oocytes also expressing hα6 and mβ2 subunits (Table 2). However, coexpression with mutant mβ3²V⁹S subunits significantly increases nicotinic responses in oocytes also expressing hα6 and mβ4 subunits (~600-nA peak response, nicotine EC₅₀ = 0.06 µM; Fig. 4D and Table 2).

Evidence for Spontaneous Opening of α6*-nAChR Containing Mutant β3²V⁹S or β3¹³⁷V⁹S Subunits

To eliminate possible contributions of muscarinic AChRs to responses in oocytes expressing nAChR α6 and other subunits, ACh always was applied in the presence of 1 µM atropine, a muscarinic receptor antagonist that at higher concentrations also can noncompetitively block nAChR function. To help define specificity of agonist action, additional studies involved regular assessment of effects on nAChR function of the nAChR antagonist, mecamylamine, which also acts noncompetitively through the open channel block. Effects of atropine or mecamylamine alone were absent when assessed using oocytes expressing any combination of wild-type nAChR subunits from any species (data not shown). However, exposure to atropine or mecamylamine alone resulted in what seemed to be outward currents in oocytes expressing α6*-nAChR containing β3²V⁹S or β3¹³⁷V⁹S subunits (Table 3). These effects were reversible, in that effects on currents ceased when atropine or mecamylamine were removed. They also were typically concentration-dependent, in that the magnitudes of the apparent outward currents were largest at the highest concentrations of atropine or mecamylamine (Fig. 5).

Inward currents elicited by nicotinic agonists from oocytes expressing nAChR α6 and β2 or β4 subunits in the presence of mutant β3 subunits were actually reversed to apparent outward currents (relative to base-line levels) in the presence of added atropine or mecamylamine, even when agonist was first applied alone prior to application of agonist in the presence of antagonist. These phenomena made it evident that atropine and mecamylamine were in fact blocking not just inward current responses to agonists but that they also were blocking resting inward currents rather than inducing outward currents. Our interpretation of these results is that α6*-nAChR also containing mutant β3 subunits and that had large functional responses to nicotinic agonists has a finite level of spontaneous channel opening that contributes to a resting inward current. This inward current can be ceased in the presence of adequately high concentrations of atropine or mecamylamine thanks to their
open channel blocking abilities. It is not uncommon for gain-of-function mutations in second transmembrane domains of nAChR subunits to confer spontaneous channel opening to nAChR that contain those subunits (1). This means that atropine or mecamylamine potencies when acting alone (Table 3) actually are measures of ligand antagonist IC50 values, and additional studies assessing effects of these agents in block of agonist-induced responses yield similar IC50 values (data not shown). Antagonist potency determinations (IC50 values; typically ~10 μM for both ligands) for atropine or mecamylamine are summarized in Table 3.

The results also can be used to estimate the number of nAChR that are spontaneously open. For example, at maximally efficacious concentrations, the atropine-alone sensitive component of its effect plus the inward current response to a full agonist (42 nA/(42 units) and are measures of ligand antagonist IC50 values, and additional studies assessing effects of these agents in block of agonist-induced responses yield similar IC50 values (data not shown). Antagonist potency determinations (IC50 values; typically ~10 μM for both ligands) for atropine or mecamylamine are summarized in Table 3.

The effects also can be used to estimate the number of nAChR that are spontaneously open. For example, at maximally efficacious concentrations, the atropine-alone sensitive component of its effect plus the inward current response to a full agonist (42 nA/(42 units) and are measures of ligand antagonist IC50 values, and additional studies assessing effects of these agents in block of agonist-induced responses yield similar IC50 values (data not shown). Antagonist potency determinations (IC50 values; typically ~10 μM for both ligands) for atropine or mecamylamine are summarized in Table 3.

**Contributions of nAChR α6 Subunit N-terminal Domain to Effects of nAChR Wild-type or Mutant β3 Subunits on Function of α6*-nAChR**

Deviating from the predominant, dominant-negative effect of nAChR β3 subunits on any function of α6β4*-nAChR, there is facilitation of function by nAChR β3 subunits in mo6β4hβ3-nAChR (Table 2 and Fig. 4). Moreover, deviating from the predominant absence of function for α6β2*-nAChR, and α6β2β3*-nAChR or α6β2β3V13S-nAChR, nAChR β3V9S subunits exert a gain-of-function effect in mo6β2hβ3V9S-nAChR (Table 2 and Fig. 4). These effects could only be attributed to the presence of nAChR mo6 instead of ho6 subunits in these receptors. Furthermore, the strongest indication of a gain-of-function effect of mutant β3 subunits on α6β2*-nAChR function comes from studies of hybrid receptors containing mo6, hβ2, and β3V9S subunits and are not seen for complexes instead containing ho6 subunits. To understand the bases for these effects, we extended our studies to work using chimeric mouse/human α6 nAChR subunits.

**Coexpression of Chimeric moα6(1–350)/hoα6(351–494) nAChR Subunits in Oocytes with nAChR hβ4 and Either Wild-type hβ3 or Mutant hβ3V9S Subunits Produces Functional nAChR—**There is functional expression represented by nicotinic agonist-induced current responses in oocytes expressing nAChR chimeric moα6(1–350)/hoα6(351–494) subunits in combination with hβ4 and β3 or hβ3V9S subunits (Fig. 6 and Table 4). These results indicate that the nAChR α6 subunit region from cytoplasmic loop reside Pro-350 through to the C terminus does not strongly influence effects of wild-type β3 subunits and has a limited influence on the effects of mutant β3 subunits on function of α6β4*-nAChR. Reciprocally, the results suggested that the region N-terminal to Pro-350 is likely to account for differences in effects of wild-type or mutant β3 subunits on function of moα6β4*-nAChR relative to effects on function of α6β4*-nAChR.

**Coexpression of nAChR Chimeric moα6(1–236)/hoα6(237–494) Subunits in Oocytes with hβ4 and Either nAChR Wild-type hβ3 or Mutant hβ3V9S Subunits Produces Functional Receptors—**To further narrow the search for the region of the nAChR α6 subunit important for interactions with wild-type or mutant β3 subunits, we assessed function of nAChR-containing chimeric moα6(1–236)/hoα6(237–494) subunits that link the N-terminal domain of the moα6 subunit to the transmembrane domains, cytoplasmic loops, and C terminus of the ho6 subunit. There is functional expression represented by nicotinic agonist-induced current responses in oocytes expressing nAChR moα6(1–236)/hoα6(237–494) and hβ4 subunits in combination with wild-type nAChR hβ3 subunits (Fig. 6 and Table 4). The potentiation rather than the dominant-negative suppression of α6β4*-nAChR function in the presence of wild-type β3 subunits thus seems to be influenced by α6 subunit residues in the N-terminal extracellular domain. Although not as strong from the perspectives of nicotine potency and levels of functional expression, the N-terminal extracellular domain of α6 subunits also influences gain-of-function effects of mutant β3V9S subunits on α6β4*-nAChR, including susceptibility to spontaneous opening sensitive to open channel block (Table 3).

**Region(s) Apart from the N-terminal Domain and First Three Transmembrane Domains of nAChR α6 Subunits Seem to Be
FIGURE 6. Functional properties of nAChR containing chimeric α6 subunits. A, concentration-response curves (ordinate = mean normalized current ± S.E.; abscissa = ligand concentration in log μM) are shown for responses to nicotine for oocytes expressing nAChR chimeric mouse(1–350)/human(351–494) subunits (A) or mouse(1–236)/human(237–494) subunits (B) with hβ4 subunits along with either hβ3 or hβ3<sup>3V9</sup> subunits. A leftward shift in the nicotine concentration-response curve is evident for functional nAChR containing hβ3<sup>3V9</sup> subunits relative to wild-type hβ3 subunits (p < 0.0001; 7–9-fold). See Table 4 for parameters.

### TABLE 4
Parameters for drug action at nAChR containing chimeric mouse/human α6 subunits and human β2 or β4 subunits alone or in combination with wild-type or mutant human β3 subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>nAChR subunit combinations</th>
<th>Potency</th>
<th>Peak response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; or IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine</td>
<td>mouse(1–350)/human(351–494) + hβ4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12 (8.6–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouse(1–350)/human(351–494) + hβ4 + hβ3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouse(1–350)/human(351–494) + hβ3&lt;sup&gt;3V9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 (9.5–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouse(1–236)/human(237–494) + hβ4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6 (0.97–2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required for the nAChR hβ3<sup>3V9</sup> Subunit to Exert Gain-of-Function Effects on mouseβ2*-nAChR—Oocytes coexpressing nAChR chimeric mouse(1–236)/human(237–494) or mouse(1–350)/human(351–494) subunits with hβ2 subunits alone or in the presence of wild-type hβ3 or mutant hβ3<sup>3V9</sup> subunits do not respond to nicotinic agonists (Table 4). These results indicate that the ability of nAChR hβ3<sup>3V9</sup> subunits to exert gain-of-function effects on mouseβ2*-nAChR (Table 2), unlike effects on α6β4*-nAChR, must be influenced by regions aside from those in the N-terminal domains and first three transmembrane domains of nAChR α6 subunits.

**Residues in the nAChR α6 Subunit That Influence Function of α6*-nAChR**

Studies described above using hybrid α6*-nAChR and chimeric α6 subunits suggested that residues in the highly conserved N-terminal domain of nAChR α6 subunits influence effects of β3 subunits on α6β4*-nAChR function. It is thought that productive agonist binding occurs at selected interfaces between specific subunits in nAChR assemblies, more specifically in a pocket formed by loops A, B, and C on the + or primary face of α subunits except for α5 and loops D, E, and F on the − or complementary face of neighboring subunits (β2 or β4) in the central or autonomic nervous systems as partners to α2, α3, α4, or α6 subunits, α7 in α7-nAChR homomers, and γ or δ subunits as partners to α1 subunits in muscle-type nAChR). Consideration of the alignment of mouse and human α6 subunit amino acid sequences (Fig. 1) pointed us to residues in loop A (hα6 Lys-114) but also in loops D (hα6 Asn-91 and Lys-94) and E (hα6 Asn-143 and Met-145). Each of these residues in the nAChR hα6 subunit was mutated to their counterpart in the nAChR hα6 subunit individually or in specific combinations (i.e. hα6<sup>N91K</sup>, hα6<sup>K94R</sup>, hα6<sup>114N</sup>, hα6<sup>143D</sup>, hα6<sup>M145V</sup>, hα6<sup>N91K+K94R</sup>, and hα6<sup>N143D+M145V</sup>; see Fig. 1).

**Residues at Positions 143 and 145 in the N-terminal Domain of nAChR α6 Subunits Influence Effects of nAChR β3 Subunits on α6β4*-nAChR Function—Coexpression of the double mutant hα6<sup>N143D+M145V</sup> subunit (but not any other single point mutations or the hα6<sup>N91K+K94R</sup> double mutation) with hβ4 subunits yields oocytes responding to 100 μM nicotine (mean ± S.E. peak response = 35 ± 12 nA; Fig. 7A). When the same set of mutant hα6 subunits are coexpressed with nAChR hβ4 and hβ3 subunits, significant and reproducible peak current responses (±S.E.) to 100 μM nicotine are obtained only for hα6<sup>N91K</sup>hβ4hβ3-nAChR (28 ± 4 nA), hα6<sup>N143Dhβ4hβ3</sup>-nAChR (31 ± 5 nA), and double mutant hα6<sup>N143D+M145V</sup>-hβ4hβ3-nAChR (74 ± 11 nA; Fig. 7A). However, only nAChR
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FIGURE 7. Effects of nAChR α6 subunit N-terminal domain amino acid substitutions on functional responsiveness of α6β4β3-α6-nAChR to nicotinic ligands. A and B, mean (±S.E.) peak inward current responses upon exposure (10 s) to 100 μM nicotine (10 s exposure; ordinate) from oocytes (n = 4) voltage-clamped at –70 mV and heterologously expressing the indicated nAChR subunits. C, mean (±S.E.) apparent peak outward current responses upon exposure (10 s) to 100 μM mecamylamine (10 s exposure; ordinate) from oocytes (n = 4) voltage-clamped at –70 mV and heterologously expressing the indicated nAChR subunits. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. α6(N143D) subunits are present in ha6β4*–nAChR that have the largest amplitude responses to nicotine and the largest amplitude for mecamylamine-sensitive spontaneous openings, whether in the presence of wild-type or mutant hβ3 subunits.

containing the double mutant ha6N143D/M145V subunit show the peak current response potentiation by coexpression with β3 subunits seen for ma6hβ4hβ3-α6-nAChR.

There is some level of functional response (peak ± S.E.) to 100 μM nicotine in oocytes coexpressing nAChR hβ4 and hβ3V9S subunits in combination with ha6N91K (25 ± 6 nA), ha6(K94R) (16 ± 3 nA), ha6N143D (42 ± 12 nA), ha6M145V (15 ± 1 nA), or ha6N91K+K94R (15 ± 1 nA) subunits (Fig. 7B), but none of these combinations yields responses like those seen for ma6hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR (–1600 nA) or even (ma6(1–236)/ha6(237–494))hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR (~140 nA) or (ma6(1–350)/ha6(351–494))hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR (~500 nA). Curiously, coexpression of nAChR hβ4 and hβ3V9S with nAChR ha6K114N subunits yields oocytes giving outward current responses to 100 μM nicotine (data not shown). Significantly, only coexpression of double mutant ha6N143D/M145V subunits in combination with hβ4 and hβ3V9S subunits yields oocytes giving peak current responses (490 ± 63 nA) to 100 μM nicotine that rival those of ma6hβ4hβ3V9S- or (ma6(1–236)/ha6(237–494))hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR.

Upon coexpression with hβ4 and hβ3V9S subunits, exposure to 1 mM mecamylamine elicits apparently outward currents in oocytes also injected with ha6N91K (17 ± 8 nA), ha6K94R (14 ± 5 nA), ha6N143D (90 ± 11 nA), ha6M145V (31 ± 5 nA), or ha6N91K/K94R (13 ± 3 nA) subunit cRNA (Fig. 7C). However, the largest apparently outward current is mediated by ha6N143D/M145V hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR (270 ± 150 nA; Fig. 7C), with an amplitude comparable with that seen for atrpione action on ma6hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR and ~5-fold higher than that seen for mecamylamine action at (ma6(1–236)/ha6(237–494))hβ4hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR. This indicates that there is some spontaneous opening of receptors containing the indicated subunits.

These findings indicate that nAChR α6 subunit residues at positions 143 and 145 influence the ability to form functional α6β4–, α6β4β3–, and α6β4β3V9S-α6-nAChR, dictate whether β3 subunits have dominant-negative suppression or gain-of-function effects, and are involved in gain-of-function effects of mutant β3V9S subunits on α6β4*–α6-nAChR. Clearly, wild-type or mutant β3 subunits are incorporated into functional α6β4*–α6-nAChR complexes when residues at positions 143 and 145 are the same as those in the nAChR ma6 subunit.

Residues at Positions 91 and 143 Are among Those in the N-terminal Domain of nAChR α6 Subunits That Influence Effects of nAChR β3V9S Subunits on α6β2*-nAChR Function—Although studies with chimeric nAChR α6 subunits suggested that residues C-terminal to the third transmembrane domain influenced assembly of functional α6β2β3V9S-α6-nAChR, we also examined the effects of ma6 subunit-like mutations in the N-terminal extracellular domain of the ha6 subunit on the function of nAChR produced in oocytes upon coexpression with hβ2 subunits alone or in addition to hβ3 or hβ3V9S subunits. Coexpression of ha6N91K, ha6K94R, ha6N143D, ha6M145V, ha6N91K+K94R, or ha6N143D+M145V subunits with nAChR hβ2 subunits alone or in combination with wild-type hβ3 subunits does not result in consistent production of function upon exposure to 100 μM nicotine (data not shown). However, and surprisingly, coexpression of ha6N91K, ha6K94R, ha6N143D, ha6M145V, or ha6N143D+M145V subunits with hβ2 and hβ3V9S subunits resulted in production of oocytes giving inward current responses to 100 μM nicotine or apparently outward current responses to 1 mM mecamylamine (Fig. 8). Oocytes coexpressing ha6N91K+K94R subunits with hβ2 and hβ3V9S subunits failed to produce consistent response to 100 μM nicotine or outward current responses to 1 mM mecamylamine (data not shown). These results suggest that the indicated triplexes are formed and have some function, although levels of functional expression and spontaneous channel opening are less than 1/3rd of that seen for ma6hβ2hβ3V9S-α6-nAChR.

DISCUSSION

Patterns of nAChR α6 and β3 subunit coexpression in primates or rodents in vivo (15–17) and clear evidence of the functional importance of native α6*-nAChR in the same species (2–7, 18, 19) suggest that α6β3*-nAChR exist in functional
Effects of β3 Subunits on α6*-Nicotinic Receptor Function

We tried to solve this problem by using two different approaches. One approach involved switching sequences between mβ2 and hβ2 subunits, but this did not influence the ability of wild-type or mutant β3 subunits to affect function of α6β2*-nAChR, although hα6m4hβ3v9s- and mα6hβ4m3v9s-αβ3*-nAChR were functional. The other approach entailed switching sequences between mα6 and hα6 subunits and surprisingly showed that mα6hβ2hβ3v9s- and mα6hβ4hβ3- nAChR are functional.

The persisting lack of function seen for all-human or all-mouse αβ2- or αβ6β3-αβ3*-nAChR suggests that native and physiologically relevant receptors likely contain an additional assembly partner, perhaps α4 or α3 subunits. Another possibility that would be more difficult to test is that oocytes, but not the right kinds of nerve cells, lack chaperones that facilitate assembly and functional expression of αβ6β3*-αβ3*-nAChR.

However, success in formation of functional, hybrid mα6hβ2hβ3v9s- and mα6hβ4hβ3-αβ3*-nAChR indicated that features of α6 subunits influence the function or functional assembly of αβ6β3*-αβ3*-nAChR. Chimeric α6 subunits containing different length segments of the mα6 subunit fused to otherwise hα6 subunits localized key determinants involved in effects of β3 subunits on αβ6β4*-αβ3*-nAChR function to the N-terminal extracellular domain of the nAChR α6 subunit but gave little initial indication that the same region influenced effects of β3 subunits on α6β2*-nAChR function. Our studies of αβ6β2*-nAChR also suggest that the cytoplasmic loop (and perhaps, although less likely, the fourth transmembrane domain and the C-terminal tail) of the α6 subunit can influence functional expression of receptors and their interactions with β3 subunits, perhaps con-
Effects of β3 Subunits on α6*-Nicotinic Receptor Function

Although studies using chimeric mouse/human α6 subunits did not initially implicate the N-terminal region in interactions with β3 subunits, consistent with the site-directed mutagenesis work at some of the N-terminal domain residues investigated, coexpression of nAChR hα6<sup>N143D</sup> together with nAChR hβ2 and hβ3<sup>V95S</sup> subunits resulted in production of functional receptors as evident by inward current responses to nicotine and apparently outward current responses to mecamylamine. The hα6<sup>N143D</sup> single mutation also accounted for effects when it was coupled with the more conservative, hα6<sup>M145V</sup> mutation. Although absolute levels of function were not particularly high and were just 1/3rd of those for hybrid mouseβ2hβ3<sup>V95S</sup>-nAChR, again suggesting as did chimeric subunit studies that residues C-terminal to the third transmembrane domain play a role in influencing effects of β3 subunits on α6β2*-nAChR function, these studies implicate sites involved in formation of functional α6β2β3-nAChR. 

FIGURE 9. Multiple sequence alignment of nAChR α6 subunit proteins from several species (GenBank<sup>TM</sup> accession number NP_004189.1 (human, Homo sapiens), NP_001029266.1 (chimpanzee, Pan troglodytes), XP_001099152.1 (monkey, Macaca mulatta), NP_476532.1 (rat, Rattus norvegicus), NP_067344.2 (mouse, Mus musculus), XP_584902.3 (cow, Bos taurus), NP_990695.1 (chicken, Gallus gallus), and NP_001036149.1 (zebrafish, Danio rerio)). Numbering begins at translation start methionine of human nAChR α6 subunit protein and is shown in the N-terminal domain region of interest. Symbols below sequences indicate fully (•), strongly (:), or weakly (.) conserved residues, and underlining in boldface indicates numbered residues given prime attention in human nAChR α6 subunit mutagenesis studies.

sistent with the observations by Kuryatov et al. (8) who used chimeric subunits possessing intracellular domains of the α3 instead of α6 subunit.

Site-directed mutagenesis work, simplified to some extent by the remarkable homology between human and mouse nAChR α6 subunits, indicated that the function like that seen in hybrid receptors occurring for hα6<sup>N143D/M145V</sup> hβ4-nAChR is potentiated in the presence of wild-type hβ3 subunits and is further potentiated upon substitution of mutant hβ3<sup>V95S</sup> for wild-type hβ3 subunits. These findings indicated that amino acid residues 143 and 145 in the α6 subunit are key determinants influencing effects of β3 subunits on α6β4*-nAChR function. mα6 differs from hα6 by having a negatively charged charged rather than a polar side chain at position 143, might not account for differences between chicken subunits on α6β2*-nAChR. Additionally, residue 91 is an asparagine in primates, cows, and chickens but is a lysine in rats and mice (Fig. 9). It, as opposed to residue 143, might not account for differences between chicken and human α6 subunits in hybrid receptors, but it seems to contribute to differences between mouse and human α6 subunits. Perhaps the incomplete (relative to hybrid α6β2β3<sup>V95S</sup>-nAChR) potentiation of α6β2*-nAChR function is because the changes in position 143 reflect influences on β3<sup>•••</sup> and β4<sup>•••</sup> α6 subunit interactions but not β2<sup>•••</sup> α6 subunit interactions. Reciprocally, changes in position 91 might have effects only because they influence β2<sup>•••</sup> α6 and not β4<sup>•••</sup> α6 subunit interactions. Again, given that it would be unexpected for agonist binding to occur at β3<sup>•••</sup> α6 or β2<sup>•••</sup> α6 subunit interfaces, as opposed to at α6<sup>•••</sup> β2 interfaces, changes in residues in loops D and E probably affect assembly and closing of functional α6β2β3-nAChR pentamers, although they could have allosteric effects on ligand binding and/or coupling to channel
opening (e.g. see Ref. 21), maybe even serving as coagonist-binding sites.

Finally, effects of atropine or mecamylamine interpreted as that of α6*-nAChR showing gain-of-function have a significant probability of spontaneous channel opening that is abated by atropine- or mecamylamine-mediated open channel block. Gain-of-function effects due to mutations occurring at the 9'- or 13'-positions in the β3 subunit second transmembrane domain have largely similar effects but in some cases yield functional receptors with different agonist sensitivities, suggesting subtleties in coupling between ligand binding and channel opening.

In conclusion, our results provide evidence that wild-type β3 or mutant β3V99S or β3V133S subunits can incorporate into and either suppress/abolish or enhance function of α6β4*-nAChR but not α6β2*-nAChR. These observations, along with the demonstration that β3 subunits can form functional receptors with α7 subunits (22) and that β3V237T subunits participate in formation of α3β4*-nAChR (23, 24), help to define nAChR subtypes capable of containing β3 subunits, thus providing insights into roles of β3*-nAChR in nicotinic signaling. However, there remain puzzles about the makeup of functional, all-human or all-mouse α6*-nAChR, especially α6β3*-nAChR. Nevertheless, our results provide further evidence that wild-type and/or mutant β3 subunits not only form functional receptors in combination with α6 subunits but also influence α6*-nAChR function. We also show for the first time that dominant-negative suppression or potentiation of α6β4*-nAChR upon heterologous coexpression with wild-type β3 subunits is influenced in hybrid receptors and in the presence of chimeric α6 subunits in ways affected by selected residues that unexpectedly are found on the N-terminal extracellular domain — face of α6 subunits. These and additional residues influence effects of mutant β3 subunits on function of α6β2*-nAChR. The current findings suggest that the molecular description of functional nAChR is incomplete and that novel interfaces (i.e. other than the consensus interface thought to be involved in productive agonist binding, α6::β2 or α6::β4) between nAChR subunits play heretofore unappreciated roles in receptor assembly, ligand recognition, and/or function. Finally, the development of oocytes that express α6β3*-nAChR and the prospect that cell lines also containing the same assemblies in functional forms provide potentially useful tools for development of α6*-nAChR-selective or -specific ligands, with the caveat that any α6*-nAChR-containing subunits with gain-of-function properties or chimeric subunits may have different sensitivities for agonists or perhaps other types of ligands than α6*-nAChR composed of fully wild-type subunits. This is important due to the growing interest in functional α6β3*-nAChR based on their demonstrated or perceived importance in locomotion, reward and reinforcement behavior, schizophrenia, and Parkinson disease (2, 15, 25). Perhaps of high significance is the association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the genes (CHRNA6 and CHRNA3) encoding α6 and β3 subunits with nicotine dependence, number of quit attempts, and subjective responses to nicotine (26–30). Studies as described here are essential for an improved understanding of structure and function and ultimately of biological roles of α6β3*-nAChR.
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